1	Ο	Did	VOU	call	m	anybody	from
1	\mathcal{Q} .	27.7.0	you		44.0	City Docky	

- 2 Cerberus and say I hear you terminated the
- relationship, is that true? 3
- A. I never called anybody from Cerberus.
- Q. What about their lawyer? 5
- A. We talked, I think, to their lawyers 6
- about the conflict on a number of occasions. 7
- 8 Q. Who is "we"?
- A. I did. Mr. Bressler, maybe 9
- Mr. Kipnes, I'm not sure. But I remember 10
- discussing the conflict issue with them. 11
- Q. In March of 2002, did you discuss 12
- with anybody from Cerberus, that lawyer or 13
- anybody else, whether Mr. Crowley's 14
- statements, statement to you that the 15
- relationship had been terminated, was true? 16
- MR. KIPNES: Contractual 17
- relationship was his testimony. 18
- THE WITNESS: You mentioned 19
- March. I cannot recall when I first 20
- talked to counsel for Cerberus, except 21
- to say that just as you had called me 22
- after my appointment, their attorneys 23
- called and asked for the opportunity to 24

15	come	ín	and	speak	with	me.	We	granted
----	------	----	-----	-------	------	-----	----	---------

- them that opportunity. They came in. I 2
- think Mr. Bressler was there. Maybe 3
- someone else from my office was there 4
- and we talked about the conflict 5
- problem. My recollection is that they 6
- either said or indicated that that 7
- matter had been resolved. I did not ask 8
- 9 them at that time to show me a document.
- Q. You said that matter had been 1.0
- resolved. Did you ask them whether the 11
- relationship between Cerberus and Crowley 12
- had been terminated? 13
- A. I think we did, yes. 14
- 15 Q. What did they say?
- A. I think it was pretty much what Dan 16
- Crowley said that that relationship no 17
- longer existed, except that Crowley had a 18
- 19 claim for compensation.
- Q. Was it your understanding they were 20
- 21 talking about all relationships between
- Cerberus and Crowley? 22
- A. No. I can't say that I emphasized 23
- the word "all." I didn't know that there 24

- was anything beside Coram. I couldn't ask 1
- 2 that.
- 3 Q. Do you know that now?
- A. I think there were some. 4
- Q. When did you learn that?
- A. I guess as this matter unfolded. 6
- 7 Q. Did you ask -- tell me who told, who
- from who, representing Cerberus, told you 8
- 9 that the matter had been resolved?
- A. I can't recall the name of their 10
- 11 attorney who came in.
- Q. Did you ask that person or did you 12
- ask anybody from Cerberus whether the 13
- \$80,000 a month payments were being 14
- 15 continued in March?
- A. Well, we didn't ask about 80,000 16
- payments. We asked whether there was any 17
- compensation. I did not know about -- I 18
- 19 don't think I knew at that time
- specifically about 80,000 per month. 20
- 21 Q. Mr. Adams, this opinion by
- Judge Walrath is full of \$80,000. 22
- 23 A. Well --
- Q. Is it your testimony you didn't pay 24

1	attention to that?
2	MR. GODNICK: Objection.
3	THE WITNESS: That is not my
4	territory. You are asking me what I
5	recall of the meeting. That's the best
6	I can do for you. I can't make up
7	things to answer your question. I can
8	only tell you what my recollection is.
9	BY MR. LEVY:
10	Q. Do you have the emphasis in
11	Judge Walrath's opinion on these payments
12	of \$80,000 a month did you not consider
13	them important to ask Cerberus whether the
14	were still paying \$80,000 now a month?.
15	MR. GODNICK: Objection.
16	THE WITNESS: I thought we
17	were asking whether there was any
18	compensation. I don't think I stopped
19	at the 80,000.
20	BY MR. LEVY:
21	Q. They told you?
22	A. My impression was they told me that

there were no current payments being made.

24 but there was this obligation to pay

- something for past services. 1
- 2 Q. Cerberus told you that?
- 3 A. Yes.
- O. For past service rendered by Crowley 4
- 5 to Coram?
- MR. KIPNES: Objection to 6
- 7 the form.
- MR. GODNICK: Objection. 8
- BY MR. LEVY:
- Q. For past service rendered by Crowley 10
- to Cerberus in connection with Coram? 11
- MR. KIPNES: Objection. 12
- MR. GODNICK: Objection. 13
- THE WITNESS: I didn't know 14
- what the past services were.
- BY MR. LEVY: 16
- Q. You didn't ask? 17
- 18 A. I knew that he had been advising
- 19 Cerberus on various other matters that
- Cerberus had. That's what I thought they 20
- 21 were talking about.
- Q. Did he tell you that, did Crowley 22
- tell you that Cerberus was his principal 23
- 24 client?

1	MR.	KIPNES:	Objection	to

- the form of the question. 2
- THE WITNESS: I don't recall 3
- him saying Cerberus is my principal 4
- client, no. 5
- 6 BY MR. LEVY:
- Q. In your view, if you thought that 7
- Crowley had a continuing conflict of 8
- interest, but that he was doing a really 9
- good job with Coram, that he could bring 10
- 11 this matter to a conclusion, would it have
- been your judgment to keep him on? 12
- A. No. That would not have been my 13
- judgment. 14
- 15 Q. So, by the time you decided to keep
- him on, you had come to a clear view that 16
- there was no conflict of interest? 17
- A. Well, I really never decided to keep 18
- him on as such. It was moving forward in 19
- the hopes that we could reach a pretty 20
- quick resolution of this. I don't think I 21
- ever said to myself or to my counsel, "I 22
- want you to understand, Mr. Bressler, I 23
- made a decision to continue this." I have 24

- never made that judgment. 7
- Q. Didn't you permit Mr. Crowley to 2
- tell all of his employees at the end of 3
- March that things were going to continue as 4
- 5 before? That he was going to be the CEO?
- Nothing was going to change? 6
- A. Did I permit Mr. Crowley to tell his 7
- people that? I don't think I permitted him 8
- 9 to tell his people that, unless you are
- talking about the general situation at 10
- 11 Coram.
- I did assure the executives 12
- that their situation would continue as in 13
- the past. I had to give them that 14
- 15 assurance or else I probably would have run
- the risk of losing them or hurting their 16
- morale, but I don't recall saying that 17
- Mr. Crowley is going to continue indefinitely 18
- 19 as in the past.
- 20 Q. I didn't say indefinitely. Did you
- 21 tell, permit Mr. Crowley to tell his
- employees that he was going to continue 22
- operating the company in the past? 23
- MR. BEATIE: Objection. 24

1	This is another example of your
2	MR. LEVY: Mr. Beatie, the
3	rules require if you object, you object
4	to the form.
5	MR. BEATIE: I would prefer
6	you preserve this for the courtroom so
7	somebody can appreciate your
8	cross-examination skills instead of
9	wasting the time of ten lawyers while w
10	watch you.
11	BY MR. LEVY:
1.2	Q. As he said in the past
13	A. I don't know what you mean by the
14	word "permit." I didn't say to Mr. Crowley
15	now you go ahead and tell that to your
16	employees, no. I did say to the employees
17	in the meeting room when we were all
18	together, that "Until further notice, we
19	are going to continue as we have." I don't
20	think the emphasis was on Crowley. The
21	emphasis was on operations.
22	I imagine that Mr. Crowley
23	wanted to create the impression on his,
0.4	containly his executive staff that there

- would not be a disruption. As a good
- executive, I would understand that, but I
- 3 never gave him any assurance.
- Q. You are sure of that, sir? 4
- 5 A. Am I sure? When you say gave him
- assurance in writing or anything, yes, I 6
- never gave him any assurance in writing. I 7
- can't recall any. Maybe I did. If you 8
- have a document, show it to me. Maybe it 9
- will refresh my mind. 10
- Q. I do. 11
- 12 (Trustee-4, an E-mail,
- marked for identification.) 13
- BY MR. LEVY: 14
- Q. This is a document, Trustee 6465 15
- with the Bates number through 68. 16
- A. This is from Kurt Davis to me. 17
- Q. Do you know who Kurt Davis is? 18
- A. One of those gentlemen at the 19
- meeting. I don't know anything more than 20
- 21 that.
- Q. Does it refresh your recollection 22
- that in this message, Mr. Davis is passing 23
- 24 along requests that you review a letter

- that he intended to send to Coram employees? 1
- A. It does. 2
- Q. And is that your handwriting at the 3
- bottom of that page that says, "Your letter
- of March 26 is fine"? 5
- 6 A. No, it is not.
- 7 Q. Do you recall telling someone to
- 8 tell Mr. Crowley that the letter of
- March 26 was fine? 9
- A. No. 10
- Q. Look at page 6466. Tell me who 11
- 12 Margaret Kalalian is?
- A. That is my secretary. 13
- 14 O. And would you read to me what she
- says in the message to "Dear Mr. Davis"? 15
- 16 A. "Judge Adams said that your letter
- of March 26 is fine." 17
- 18 Q. Will you agree with me now that you
- said the March 26 letter was fine? 19
- 20 A. Would I agree with you now that the
- March 26 letter --21
- Q. That you told Mr. Davis that the 22
- letter, the proposed letter of March 26, is 23
- 24 fine?

- 1 A. No, I did not tell Mr. Davis that.
- Q. Did you tell Miss Kalalian to tell
- 3 Mr. Davis that?
- 4 A. I may have said something to that
- 5 effect. I cannot recall what I told her.
- 6 Q. Do you think she made a mistake when
- 7 she passed that along?
- 8 A. I can't say that. I can't recall
- 9 what I told her.
- 10 Q. Do you think it likely that your
- 11 secretary was reporting accurately what you
- 12 told her to tell Mr. Davis?
- 13 A. She could have. I could have said
- 14 something to the effect I have no objection
- 15 or something like that. I can't recall
- 16 that. That is along time ago, March 26.
- 17 O. How long has Miss Kalalian been your
- 18 secretary?
- 19 A. A few years.
- Q. Is she reliable?
- 21 A. I think so.
- Q. Therefore, isn't it likely that you
- 23 did tell her to pass along --
- A. It's possible, but I don't recall

- it.
- Q. Let's look now at the draft letter 2
- of March 26, Trustee number 6467 and 8. 3
- This was a letter in which Mr. Crowley in 4
- 5 the fourth paragraph, the second sentence
- beginning "Judge Adams" --6
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. "Yesterday we had our first meeting
- with Arlin Adams." 9
- MR. GODNICK: I don't think 10
- it's necessary to read it into the 11
- 12 record.
- 13 BY MR. LEVY:
- Q. Thank you. I would like to do it. 14
- "Yesterday we had our first meeting with 15
- Arlin Adams." And it goes on to say, "you 16
- spent the afternoon with us," and then it 17
- says, "Judge Adams was complimentary of the 18
- work all you have been doing to stabilize 19
- and strengthen Coram." Is that true? Were 20
- you complimentary? 21
- 22 A. I was.
- Q. "He has asked me to continue 23
- 24 operating the company in my present role,

- reporting to him in essentially the same 1
- manner as I reported to Coram's board of 2
- directors." 3
- Is that statement correct? 4
- 5 Did you ask Mr. Crowley to continue
- operating the company in his present role? 6
- 7 A. More or less words to that effect.
- That is not my language. But something to 8
- 9 that effect.
- Q. And this is the letter that you may 10
- have given him approval to send? 11
- A. I don't know whether I gave him 12
- 13 approval.
- Q. Well, I'm talking about as indicated 14
- 15 by Miss Kalalian's "Judge Adams says this
- 16 is fine" message.
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. Pardon? 18
- A. That's right. You have to 19
- understand the context of this entire thing. 20
- 21 You see, you look at this proceeding and
- you may be right. Judge Walrath may say 22
- 23 that Mr. Levy's approach here is the
- correct approach and what you did Judge 24

- Adams is wrong. That is not the way I saw 1
- my assignment. I wanted to keep this 2
- company together and functioning so we 3
- could get it out of bankruptcy. What 4
- you're trying to do here is, by these 5
- questions, is to engage in a verbal, 6
- legalistic battle with me. If you wish to 7
- do that, you're probably right legally. If 8
- you wish to run a company, you are clearly 9
- wrong legally. And that's where you and I 10
- are not seeing it the same way and you 11
- didn't see my assignment the way I did. I 12
- can understand why you are here spending a 13
- lot of time, a lot of money, a lot of the 14
- creditor's money arguing these points. 15
- That was not my point of view. If that's 16
- what the Court wants and if that's what the 17
- Trustee wants, I will gladly step down 1.8
- because that's not what I saw my assignment 19
- to be. That's not why I accepted it. 20
- Now, you have to use that 21
- context in evaluating the questions or my 22
- 23 answer to your questions.
- Q. Well, Mr. Adams, I will ask you some 24

- more questions that will make my point of 1
- view clearer.
- On March 7 you get 3
- 4 appointed. You read an opinion which
- clearly says there is a conflict of 5
- interest. Tell me if I'm saying anything 6
- 7 wrong about your testimony.
- 8 A. We have gone over this this morning.
- Yes, that's right. 9
- Q. You go out and Mr. Crowley says to 10
- you, the contract has been terminated, the 11
- 12 agreement has been terminated, my
- relationship has been terminated. You 13
- don't ask for documents, you don't verify 14
- it and now on March 26 --15
- MR. BEATIE: Are you 16
- assuming or cross examining or 17
- interrogating? I object to this. I 18
- object to having to pay for it. 19
- 20 BY MR. LEVY:
- Q. On March 26, you permit Mr. Crowley 21
- 22 to write a letter saying he is going to
- 23 continue operating the company in its
- 24 present role, is that correct?

1	MR. KIPNES: Objection to
2	the form.
3	MR. GODNICK: Objection to
4	the form.
5	THE WITNESS: I think what
6	it says, it says. I think I have
7	explained why I permitted him to send
8	the letter out. I could have stopped
9	the letter. I don't think I approved
LO	the letter. I don't think I said it was
11	a fine letter. It's not the letter f
12	would have written, but it's not a legal
1.3	brief and that's the thing you don't
14	seem to understand or don't want to
15	understand. I think it's because you
16	don't want to understand it. I think,
17	looking back over our relationship over
18	the past many months, that has been the
19	problem. You look at it not for what is
20	in the best interest of Coram; you look
21	at it as to what is in your best
22	interest. I can understand that. I
23	disagree with it. I'm disappointed in
24	it, but I can understand it. You can't

1	understand what I have done or what I
2	have said with that point of view.
3	There is no way you can understand it.
4	BY MR. LEVY:
5	Q. Thank you.
6	Mr. Adams, on March 25 or
7	March 26, had you come to believe in your
8	heart that there was no longer a conflict
9	of interest on Crowley's part?
10	(Multiple objection by
11	counsel.)
12	MR. BEATIE: At least number
13	three; probably number five.
14	THE WITNESS: I have tried
15	to explain that I recognize that Judge
16	Walrath believed properly so that there
17	was a conflict. I have no objection to
18	that. I don't question that. When I
19	went out there, I thought that there was
20	a problem. I was concerned. I
21	discussed it with my counsel. I did
22	discuss it with Mr. Crowley. I took his
23	answers and brought them back and
24	discussed it further with my counsel.

1	Was this the only thing in my mind? Of
2	course not. That's not why I was
3	appointed to be the Trustee. My job was
4	to make sure that this company could
5	continue in a viable way. That was
6	upper most. In order to protect the
7	interest, not of myself, it didn't
8	protect me, it would have protected the
9	interest of the creditors, equity
10	committee, Noteholders also. That was
11	uppermost.
12	You have to understand my
13	answers in that context or you don't
14	understand them.
15	BY MR. LEVY:
16	Q. Mr. Adams, how would it protect the
17	interest of the equity holders if
18	Mr. Crowley had a conflict of interest in
19	which his duty of loyalty was no longer to
20	the equity holders, but was to a principal
21	creditor?
22	(Multiple objection.)

23 BY MR. LEVY:

Q. In March.

- A. I never perceived that Mr. Crowley 1
- 2 was operating in order to help Cerberus or
- 3 Goldman or whoever these creditors were.
- 4 My perception was that he was operating
- this company as effectively as he could 5
- have been at the time under the ń
- 7 circumstances. The figures supported that.
- 8 My interviews with the executives supported
- that when I went out into the field. 9
- Q. We are in March, still in March. 10
- A. Yes. That's when I had these 11
- 12 interviews with the executives.
- O. Are you aware that Judge Walrath in 13
- her opinion made a finding of fact that 14
- everything, that nothing Crowley did would 15
- have been without, without unduly 16
- considering the interests of Cerberus? 17
- A. At that time, yes. I am concerned. 18
- 19 I was concerned.
- 20 Q. Were you concerned when you went out
- there in March? 21
- 22 A. Certainly. I wouldn't have had the
- discussion with them. 23
- Q. Were you concerned when you let him 24

<u></u>	send out that retter saying he was going to
2	operate the company the same as he had done
3	before?
4	MR. GODNICK: Objection to
5	the form of the question.
6	MR. KIPNES: Objection.
7	THE WITNESS: Was I
8	concerned? I was not thinking of the
9	conflict issue. What he was doing was
1.0	sending this letter to his people. The
11	were not involved in the conflict. He
12	was trying to give them assurance,
13	ladies and gentlemen, keep working as
14	you have, things are going well. He
15	wasn't getting into conflicts.
16	BY MR. LEVY:
17	Q. He was saying Judge Adams asked me
18	to continue operating the company in my
19	present role in, essentially, the same
20	manner as I reported to Coram's directors?.
21	MR. BEATIE: Number 4. I
22	object to the continued repetition.
23	THE WITNESS: I don't think
24	I could add very much more. I can't

1	give you what is not there. I told you
2	what motivated me and what was foremost
3	in my mind in approving that letter.
4	And, as it turned out, it has worked
5	pretty well. The executives have
6	stayed. The customers have stayed. The
7	sales have gone up. The EBITDA has been
8	pretty good. Cash flow has been pretty
9	good. I thought I was doing exactly
10	what the Court wanted me to do and the
11	Trustee wanted me to do. If I'm wrong,
12	I'm perfectly willing to step down.
13	I think you are taking a
14	point of view that is different from the
15	point of view that I have taken. $ ext{I}$
16	respect it, but we disagree.
17	BY MR. LEVY:
18	Q. Can you exclude the possibility,
19	sir, that with someone else operating it,
20	sir, that with someone else operating it, you didn't have possibly a conflict? That the company might have done even better?.
21	the company might have done even better?.
22	MR. GODNICK: Objection to
23	the form of the question.
24	THE WITNESS: I did not

1	exclude	that	possibility.

- Q. Do you exclude it now? 2
- A. No. I have discussed that matter 3
- time and time again with the experts that I 4
- have had, with my counsel, and with other 5
- people in the field who have made offers 6
- for the company as a matter of fact, parts 7
- of the company, and I have not found 8
- 9 anyone, frankly, beside yourself and your
- colleagues who have criticized Mr. Crowley. 10
- I took that very seriously. 11
- I spent a lot of time with 1.2
- Don Liebentritt when he came at least once 1.3
- to talk to me about it and I have talked to 14
- you about it and, you know, you and I have 15
- talked on the phone many times. Don has 16
- talked on the phone many times. I took 17
- your concerns very seriously. I had to 18
- make a critical decision. Was I wrong? I 19
- don't think so. If the Court thinks I was 20
- wrong, I will step aside. If the Trustee 21
- thinks I was wrong, I will step aside. 22
- I wrote a letter, an interim] 23
- letter to the Trustee telling him exactly 24

1	what	พลร	happening.	Ī	never	aot	a	response
-L-	*****	AR CY CO	4754 1/2 (//////////////////////////////////		12 C 4 C 4.	900	~~	10000000

- indicating that they were unhappy about it. 2
- The Court has never indicated that they 3
- 4 were unhappy. The only unhappiness has
- been on primarily on the part of you and 5
- Don Liebentritt. You may be right. 6
- 7 Q. Tell me about that. Why do you
- think I might be right. 8
- MR. GODNICK: Objection to 9
- 10 the question.
- THE WITNESS: You believe 11
- that because of Mr. Crowley's 12
- relationship either in the past or 13
- present that he does not operate the 14
- company as effectively as somebody else 15
- 16 might.
- 17 BY MR. LEVY:
- Q. That is not our position here. I 18
- believe that Mr. Crowley has a continuing 19
- conflict of interest whether he is 20
- operating it, sir, effectively or not. 21
- MR. MILLER: Objection. You 22
- are making a speech. 23
- 24 MR. LEVY: This is a

- 2 operating it effectively or not may well
- be determined at some future time. 3
- 4 BY MR. LEVY:
- Q. I'm trying to find out your views 5
- about when he stopped having a conflict of 6
- interest. I have not yet --7
- MR. KIPNES: Objection to 8
- the form of the question. If you are 9
- going to call him Mr. Adams, do not ask 10
- him questions that call for a legal 11
- 12 conclusion. That question does and it
- comes from an erroneous, legal 13
- 14 predicate. I can talk louder if you
- like. 15
- MR. LEVY: Let's take a 16
- break. 17
- (Recess taken, 11:05 a.m.) 18
- (Resumed 11:12 a.m.) 19
- 20 BY MR. LEVY:
- Q. Mr. Adams, is it correct that on 21
- March 26 or about that day you directed 22
- Mr. Crowley to continue operating Coram on 23
- 24 a day-to-day basis, except that you,

- Mr. Adams, are now to be considered the 1
- 2 board?
- A. I don't know about the word directed 3
- him. I don't think I did that. That is 4
- not my style. It would be unusual for me 5
- to direct anybody to do anything. But, I 6
- told him that until further notice, I would 7
- expect him to continue operating the 8
- 9 company as he has in the past.
- MR. LEVY: Let's mark as 10
- Exhibit 5, a letter dated March 26 11
- directed to you by Mr. Crowley bearing 12
- Bates number 4161 through 71. 13
- (Trustee-5, a letter dated 14
- 15 March 26, 2002, marked for
- identification.) 16
- BY MR. LEVY: 17
- Q. Take a moment and read that. 18
- 19 A. I have seen it.
- Q. Do you notice that Mr. Crowley on 20
- 21 that date March 26, that was the day after
- your meeting with him in Denver, correct? 22
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. He says, "As you have directed, it 24

- is my understanding that I am to continue
- operating Coram on a day-to-day basis, 2
- except that you are now to be considered 3
- the 'board.'" 4
- Did you, in substance, tell 5
- him that? 6
- 7 A. I didn't direct him. I said why
- don't you continue doing what you are doing 8
- unless I tell you otherwise? Knowing my 9
- own style, I don't direct anybody to do 10
- 11 anything.
- Q. On July 12 -- this is a letter which 1.2
- we will mark Exhibit 6, Trustee number 6445 13
- and 46. 14
- (Trustee-6, a letter dated 15
- July 9, 2002, marked for 16
- 17 identification.)
- BY MR. LEVY: 18
- Q. You will notice there is a copy 19
- 20 shown to you, Mr. Adams.
- 21 A. I do notice.
- Q. Do you remember reading that letter? 22
- A. I think I recall this letter, yes. 23
- 24 Q. This letter comes from Mr. Crowley's

- lawyer, Scott Schreiber. 1
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. He says in the second paragraph, 3
- "Since the Trustee'S appointment, 4
- Mr. Crowley's responsibilities have 5
- remained virtually unchanged. Over the 6
- 7 past four months, the Trustee has relied
- extensively on Mr. Crowley to operate Coram 8
- on the Trustee's behalf, just as 9
- Mr. Crowley operated Coram prior to the 10
- 11 Trustee's appointment." Is that statement
- 12 correct?
- A. No, I don't think that is a correct 13
- statement. 14
- 15 Q. In what way is it incorrect?
- A. When he says "just as he did prior 16
- to his appointment, " I would not say, I 17
- would not use the word superbly. 18
- Q. Just the first two sentences. We 19
- will get to superbly in a moment. 20
- A. I don't think "extensively" is the 21
- right word. This is a lawyer's letter. 22
- This is an advocate's letter. You can't 23
- 24 put his words in my mouth.

- Q. I'm not trying to. Let's break it 1
- down. Is it a correct statement that since 2
- you were appointed, his responsibility 3
- remained virtually unchanged? 4
- 5 A. I think that's true.
- Q. Is it also correct that over the 6
- past four months, backwards from July, you 7
- relied extensively on Crowley to operate 8
- 9 Coram on your behalf?
- A. I relied on him. I wouldn't say 10
- 11 extensively. I relied on him with our own
- safeguards. 12
- Q. Is it correct that you relied on him 1.3
- to operate it just as he had operated Coram 14
- 15 prior to your appointment?
- A. That's what I started to say. 16
- Q. What is incorrect?.
- MR. MILLER: Allow the 18
- 19 witness to complete his answer.
- BY MR. LEVY: 20
- Q. Did you complete your answer? 21
- A. No. What I was trying to say, it 22
- was a different operation than his prior 23
- 24 operation.

- Q. How was it different? 1
- A. Because I was in charge. He was not 2
- 3 in charge.
- Q. What were you in charge of? 4
- 5 A. Of everything.
- Q. How did you go about --6
- 7 A. I think what he is talking about,
- what I delegated to him was his dealing 8
- 9 with customers and his executive staff,
- whatever the official name was. That I did 10
- not want to disturb because I thought it 11
- would detract from the successful operation 12
- of the business. But the legal and 13
- financial matters, I did not delegate to 14
- 15 him. They were my responsibility.
- Q. What about the operation of the 16
- 17 business?
- A. The day-to-day operation, yes, he 18
- 19 had day-to-day operation.
- Q. You have said that there was some 20
- 21 safeguards. What were the safeguards?
- A. I had to approve any important 22
- contract, any bonuses, things of that sort, 23
- any long-term contracts, the IRS matter, 24

- the Price-Waterhouse matter, anything of 1
- 2 that sort.
- 3 O. Go ahead.
- A. He didn't have any discretion over
- 5 those.
- Q. Wasn't that true before? Didn't he 6
- 7 have to -- wasn't it your understanding
- that he had to get approval from his board 8
- 9 of directors prior to your appointment for
- items? 10
- 11 A. I don't know that. I don't know.
- Q. To the extent this statement was 12
- incorrect or partially incorrect, did you 13
- make any effort to convey back to 14
- 15 Mr. Schreiber that this is wrong, this is
- not what I understand? 16
- A. The letter was not addressed to me, 17
- 18 so I wouldn't have corrected it. If I
- 19 start correcting all the letters that I
- 20 receive in connection with this matter,
- 21 that's all I would be doing. I didn't see
- that as my job. You, apparently, think 22
- that that was my job. You may be correct. 23
- Perhaps, the Trustee will agree with you. 24

- 1 Perhaps, the Court will agree with you. If
- 2 they do, I will step down. That is not the
- role I accepted. I'm not, at my age, going 3
- to parse letters from the attorneys for 4
- 5 Mr. Crowley or from you or from your
- esteemed colleague. I'm not in that 6
- 7 business. That's not the role that I
- accepted. If what you are trying to 8
- demonstrate here is that I should have done 9
- that, if you show that to the judge and she 10
- agrees with you, fine. 11
- 12 Q. Did you --
- 13 A. I have no desire to remain.
- 14 Q. Did you ask Mr. Bressler to --
- A. We are not going to ask Mr. Bressler 15
- to answer lawyers' letters and make 16
- corrections to those letters. I would not 17
- 18 use the creditors money to do that. I
- think that's an improvident way to spend 19
- the creditors' money. You think it's the 20
- right thing to do. God bless you. You may 21
- be right. 22
- O. Further in this letter that you have 23
- in front of you, it looks like the fact 24

1	Mr.	Crowley	s	troubled	or	his	lawyers
---	-----	---------	---	----------	----	-----	---------

- 2 troubled by the fact that you have not
- 3 approved his performance-based incentives.
- Why hadn't you at that point approved his 4
- performance-based incentives? 5
- A. Because I don't think I had an 6
- adequate opportunity to observe them. 7
- Q. In July? 8
- A. I didn't think it was in order, yes. 9
- Q. What more at that point did you 10
- think you needed to know to get that 11
- 12 adequate opportunity?
- A. I can't tell you that. I didn't 13
- 14 think four months was a long enough period.
- 15 I didn't think that I was in a position at
- that time to approve incentive payments. I 16
- was not that sure of what we were going to 17
- 18 do. I tried to say this several times now.
- 19 I will say it one more time. I did not
- perceive that as my job. I thought that 20
- the Trustee wanted me to do that; or if the 21
- Court expected me to do that, I would not 22
- 23 have accepted this job. That is not what
- 24 the Trustee told me. Therefore, you are

- trying to get me to evaluate all of these 1
- 2 documents through your lens. I can't do
- 3 that. That is not what I was about.
- Q. You did approve incentive bonuses at 4
- that time to other executives? 5
- A. That's right. That was in line with 6
- 7 keeping the company intact so it could be
- saleable or viable for the benefit of 8
- creditors. That was my pulstar. Your 9
- pulstar seems to be correcting legal 10
- statements from attorneys. I didn't see it 11
- 12 that way.
- Q. If you wouldn't tell me what I 13
- 14 think, I'm asking the questions.
- A. Your questions indicate that. 15
- Q. You said that one of your safeguards 16
- was to approve or disapprove important 17
- contracts, for example, correct? 18
- 19 A. Important?
- 20 Q. Contracts.
- A. Yes. 21
- Q. That was? 22
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Let me ask you this: You have no 24

- personal experience in the health care 1
- 2 business, do you?
- 3 A. I do, yes, considerable.
- Q. What was your experience in the 4
- health care business? 5
- A. Well, let me start out by saying 6
- 7 that as a young lawyer, I was appointed by
- the Court to supervise a home for 8
- incurables. After spending a year doing 9
- that, I reached the conclusion that we 10
- ought to make it a rehabilitation hospital. 11
- O. What year was this, sir? 12
- A. Probably 1960. 13
- It has become one of the 14
- leading rehabilitation hospitals in the 15
- United States. As a result of that, the 16
- governor of Pennsylvania appointed me to be 17
- Secretary of Health and Welfare of 18
- Pennsylvania in 1963, a job that gave me 19
- supervision of all the hospitals in 20
- Pennsylvania. In the course of that job, I 21
- actually visited every hospital in 22
- 23 Pennsylvania.
- After I terminated my duties 24

- in that regard, I returned to Philadelphia. 1
- 2 I did not go back to Moss because while I
- 3 was the secretary, I had certain
- transactions with Moss. I thought it was 4
- better not to do that and I went to another 5
- institution known as the Einstein Medical 6
- 7 Center; eventually became the chairman of
- 8 the board of that institution.
- I was appointed by the mayor 9
- 10 of Philadelphia as a member of the Hospital
- Study Commission that supervised the 11
- construction and addition of any new 12
- 13 hospitals in the area. So, for a long time
- 14 now I have been kind of associated with the
- 15 industry.
- Q. Were you ever a director, officer of 16
- 17 a for-profit health care company?
- A. Yes. While I was chairman of 18
- Einstein, there was a period, let me see if 19
- I can give you dates, in which it became 20
- the practice in the United States for the 21
- nonprofits to create a series of profit 22
- hospitals and Einstein at that time -- I 23
- did about four of them. So, I had that 24

- experience. 1
- 2 Q. What years?
- A. Roughly, in the eighties. 1980. 3
- Then, I also had a major role in the Oxford 4
- Hospital which was a profit hospital. It 5
- 6 has since been absorbed by one of the big
- 7 hospitals here.
- Q. The last time you were involved with 8
- 9 a for-profit health care venture was in the
- '80's? 10
- 11 A. No. I don't think that is right.
- That would have been into the, maybe, the 12
- early '90's. I'm not sure of the exact 13
- date. 14
- 15 Q. Can you give me an approximation of
- 16 the number of important contracts that were
- 17 presented to you for decision?
- A. Here in Coram? 18
- 19 Q. Yes.
- A. I think we had a rule that anything 20
- over \$50,000 I had to approve or that any 21
- 22 continuing contract and any contract
- 23 dealing with pharmacies, except
- 24 pharmaceutical supplies, that Coram was

- using on a day-to-day basis, we had a 1.
- 2 protocol.
- 3 Q. And did you actually, yourself, then
- examine each of those contracts that fell 4
- within that protocol?
- A. Yes. They were brought into me 6
- 7 almost weekly, periodically.
- Q. Who brought them into you? 8
- A. A gentleman in this office. I don't
- recall his last name. His first name is 10
- 11 Joe. Joe Devine.
- Q. Did they come in with a recommendation 12
- 13 from Mr. Crowley to be approved?
- A. I don't know that it was a 14
- 15 recommendation as it was a recommendation
- of Mr. Marabito. 16
- 17 Q. Did you ever return a request?
- A. We did, yes. 18
- 19 O. Which ones?
- A. I can't recall. 20
- 21 Q. Which ones?
- A. There are scads of these contracts 22
- that came in every week. I can't tell you. 23
- I would send them back and say I want some 24

- more information. 1
- 2 Q. Did you ever look to any source,
- 3 other than the company, whether it's
- Crowley, Marabito or someone else, for 4
- advice in deciding whether you should or 5
- 6 should not approve these contracts that
- 7 fell within the protocol?
- A. Yes. I remember having at least an 8
- 9 hour discussion out in Denver on two
- occasions regarding the purchase of 10
- software and technical material that was 11
- being used extensively. 12
- 13 Q. With who?
- A. I can't tell you the name. 14
- Q. What role? 15
- A. He was in charge of that. 16
- Q. At Coram? 17
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. My question was: Did you ever
- consult anyone who didn't work for Coram, 20
- an outside advisor, for example, as to 21
- 22 whether a particular contract should or
- 23 should not be approved?
- 24 MR. GODNICK: Objection.

- The questions go beyond the limited 1
- scope of this deposition. 2
- THE WITNESS: On the renewal 3
- of the contract, which is the matter, I 4
- guess, that is under consideration
- here --6
- 7 BY MR. LEVY:
- Q. Renewal of which contract? 8
- 9 A. Of Crowley's contract. We talked to
- the investment bankers, talked to two of 10
- 11 them.
- Q. I'm talking about business contracts 1.2
- that came to you because they fell within 13
- the protocol. My question is: Did you 14
- 15 ever consult anyone, other than an employee
- of Coram, with respect to your decision as 16
- to whether you should approve, not approve, 17
- or question those contracts? 18
- 19 A. I can't recall doing that.
- Q. What is Mr. Crowley's salary, do you 20
- 21 know?
- 22 A. Say that again.
- Q. What is his salary? 23
- A. Well, I can tell you his yearly 24

- salary. We can break it down into months.
- Q. How much?
- A. It has been \$650,000 a year. We can
- divide that by twelve and get a monthly
- 5 amount. That's what it was when I took
- over. I did not give him any increase. 6
- 7 Q. Prior to the hiring of the
- investment banker, SSG and EMG --8
- MR. KIPNES: EMB. 9
- 10 BY MR. LEVY:
- O. --which was, I believe, in October 11
- 12 or November -- strike that.
- Why didn't you increase 13
- Crowley's salary? 14
- A. Frankly, I thought that \$650,000 was 15
- 16 a lot of money.
- Q. Too much? 17
- A. No. I was willing to accept the 18
- judgment of my predecessors and not reduce 19
- it or anything like that. But I was not 20
- concerned that \$650,000 was not an adequate 21
- 22 salary. I don't recall that he asked me
- for an increase. I don't recall that. I 23
- 24 have no recollection of him saying don't

- you think it's about time I get more money? 1
- 2 Q. You don't recall Mr. Crowley
- constantly asking for more money, incentive 3
- bonuses, in one form or another? 4
- 5 MR. GODNICK: Objection.
- That is opposed to money he may have 6
- 7 been entitled to under his existing --
- THE WITNESS: He did raise 8
- the bonus question once or twice. I 9
- don't recall raising the basic salary 1.0
- question. I could be wrong on that. I 11
- don't have any visual picture of his 12
- saying how about increasing this from 13
- six-fifty to seven. If he did, he did. 14
- But, I don't recall it. 15
- BY MR. LEVY: 16
- Q. Do you think he is greedy? 17
- 18 A. I don't think it would be fair for
- me to apply that to anybody in this room or 19
- anybody in my own family. I don't do that. 30
- I take people at face value. Maybe he is. 21
- 22 I don't know.
- Q. Are you aware of who Mr. Amaral is? 23
- A. I know what his position was. 24

a t	0.	Are	VOU	aware	he	testified	at	one
1	×2 +	17 to C	you	CT AA CT TO	11/		~ ~	0,110

- point that he thought Mr. Crowley was
- 3 greedy?
- A. Maybe I recall that. I wouldn't put 4
- 5 much credence in something like that.
- Maybe he is. Maybe he is not. I don't 6
- know. I may have been a judge, but I don't 7
- go around judging people. I don't do that. 8
- I don't even do that with you in the way 9
- you are questioning me. I give you the 10
- benefit of the doubt. 11
- Q. Do you think you have the skills and 12
- 13 experience to operate Coram?
- MR. KIPNES: Objection. 14
- Objection to the form of the question. 15
- What does that have to do with the 16
- purpose we are here today, which is to 17
- talk about Mr. Crowley? 18
- THE WITNESS: Let me answer 19
- it this way. 20
- (Question read back.) 21
- THE WITNESS: I doubt if I 22
- have skills to do very much at my stage 23
- 24 in life, including answering tough

7.	questions in a deposition. But, to be
2	specific, I discussed with Mr. Bressler
3	and maybe Mr. Kipnes on several
4	occasions whether it might be more
5	advisable for me to go out to Denver and
6	try to run this company and let
7	Mr. Crowley be terminated. That came up
8	primarily in the course of discussing a
9	review of his contract. But even before
10	that, I gave it some thought. I talked
11	to my wife about it and said what would
12	you think if I stayed in Denver, say,
13	four or five days a week? She gave me
14	the answer that she has always has giver
15	me. "If you think it's the right thing
16	to do, we will do it," or "you will do
17	it."
18	I worried, however, that it
19	might be disruptive to the organization
20	He was getting along so well with his
21	employees and his executive team and the
22	results that he was achieving were
23	sufficiently good that I put it aside,
24	but I kept it in mind.

1	Ο.	Do	you	feel	you	were	competent	at

- 2 this point to operate the company?
- 3 MR. KIPNES: Objection to
- the form of the question. 4
- THE WITNESS: Well, I don't 5
- want to make a self-evaluation. Could I б
- do as good a job as Mr. Crowley? 7
- Probably not. Could I do an adequate 8
- job? Maybe. But, I don't think I can
- be a self evaluator. I don't think that 10
- 11 is a good idea.
- Q. Prior to the retention of SSG and 12
- EMB -- I'm sorry, strike that question. 13
- You said you consulted with 14
- 15 Mr. Bressler and, perhaps, Mr. Kipnes about
- the notion of your going out there. You 16
- were seeking business advice, not legal 17
- advice at that time? 18
- 19 A. That's right.
- 20 O. Did you often seek business advice
- 21 from Mr. Bressler and Mr. Kipnes?
- 22 A. Did you say often?
- 23 Q. Yes. Did you ever seek business
- advice? 24

1 A. Yes.

- Q. How often did you do that?
- 3 A. Oh, from time to time; not
- 4 continuously.
- 5 Q. Did you and Mr. Bressler and
- 6 Mr. Kipnes ever exchange writings, E-mails,
- 7 memoranda, that related to business advice?
- 8 A. I can't recall any. I would doubt
- 9 it. I don't use E-mail for that purpose.
- 10 I think it's highly unlikely. If you found
- one, then you found one.
- 12 Q. Did Mr. Kipnes ever send E-mails or
- 13 memoranda to you that included any business
- 14 advice?
- 15 A. Sitting here today, I can't recall
- 16 any.
- MR. KIPNES: There were
- 18 none. You can have my assurance.
- 19 MR. LEVY: Why is that?
- 20 MR. KIPNES: I don't give
- 21 business advice. If I were putting
- 22 something in writing to Judge Adams, who
- is my client, I would be certain it
- 24 would be privileged, which leads me to

ask, your agreement that in answering 1 all these questions we are letting him 2 answer, none of that will be deemed to have waived any attorney/client privilege. You are not going to respond 5 to that? MR. LEVY: I have to think about that. MR. KIPNES: Fair enough. 9 BY MR. LEVY: 10 Q. I will ask the question: Prior to 11 the retention of SSG and EMB, what persons 12 did you, what persons did you consult in 13 making your decisions about Coram, about 14 Crowley, other than Mr. Bressler and other 15 people at the Schnader firm? 16 MR. KIPNES: When you say 17 retention, do you mean the date on which 18 the Court approved the actual retention 1.9 of those organizations? Or do you mean 20 when the judge first started talking to 21 22 them? 23 BY MR. LEVY: Q. Let's start with the first date you 24

1	started	talking	to	them	which	WAS	about
4	Started	rarvina	\sim	Clicili,	MITT CIT	AA CT TO	

- when? When did you start talking to SSG 2
- and EMB, not about retaining then them, but 3
- 4 when you started?
- A. I guess it was the summer of 2002, 5
- maybe the fall of 2002. That is the best I 6
- 7 can give you.
- Q. You can't do any better than that? 8
- A. Can you help, Barry? 9
- MR. BRESSLER: In the early 10
- summer of 2002. 11
- MR. KIPNES: He is making a 12
- statement for the record. 13
- THE WITNESS: That conforms 14
- with my understanding. I talked to two 15
- other people beside him. 16
- Q. Who did you talk to? 17
- A. I early on talked to Martin 18
- Goldsmith who was the CEO of Albert 19
- Einstein Medical Center here in Philadelphia 20
- 21 because I wanted to get a feel of
- compensation and whether he thought that 22
- 23 there were people in this field who would
- be interested in a Coram-type operation. 24

- Q. You mean interested to take over as 1
- 2 CEO?
- A. No, no. He would not have taken 3
- over; who would be interested in acquiring 4
- 5 a Coram-type operation.
- I mentioned the salary to 6
- him. He thought it was in line. He said 7
- he could not give much help on a takeover 8
- proposition because it was really out of 9
- the general run of operating a large 10
- metropolitan city hospital. 11
- Then, I talked to a client 1.2
- of mine, Jeffrey Pearlman, who runs a very 13
- extensive dental equipment company, Dental 14
- E. I'm on the board of that company and 15
- asked I for his evaluation. He thought it 16
- was somewhat out of his line, but he said 17
- he thought that there might be an interest, 18
- but he couldn't put a figure on it. 19
- Q. Neither of these people involved 20
- receiving advice from them about the 21
- operation of Coram, is that a fair 22
- 23 statement.
- A. Well, I did, when I talked to 24

- Jeffrey Pearlman, I told him about Dan 1
- Crowley and the problem that he had and he 2
- said be very, very careful in disturbing 3
- that relationship because you could hurt 4
- the morale of the people working under him; 5
- and that was consistent with my concern 6
- because I thought that the worst thing that 7
- I could do was really destroy the corpus 8
- over which I had responsibility. If I 9
- pulled him away and the other people were 10
- to say to themselves, well, he has removed 11
- Crowley, I guess I'm next; maybe I better 12
- look for another job. Before we were 1.3
- finished, we could have a very reduced 14
- operation and, I think, the creditors would 15
- have a real complaint. That worried me all 16
- 17 the time.
- O. What worried you that the creditors 18
- would have a complaint? 19
- A. They would have a very serious 20
- complaint about the exercise of my judgment. 21
- Q. Were you worried that the Equity 22
- Committee would have a serious complaint? 23
- A. Sure. I thought they might even sue 24

- 1 me.
- 2 Q. You said you explained to the dental
- 3 person about Crowley's problem, that was
- Jeffrey --4
- A. Pearlman. 5
- Q. About Crowley's problem. What did 6
- 7 you say about Crowley's problem?
- 8 A. Well, the conflict, the opinion of
- the Court. 9
- 10 Q. What did you say, do you remember,
- or the substance of what you said? 11
- A. I'm not going to address that. 12
- 13 Q. What did you tell him about the
- 14 conflict opinion?
- A. I told him that there was this 15
- opinion by the bankruptcy judge. 16
- 17 Q. Please tell me, as best you recall,
- 18 the substance of what you said about this
- 19 opinion.
- A. I said, "Jeffrey, one of the 20
- problems that I have is whether I should 21
- replace Mr. Crowley." "Why do you want to 22
- do that?" I said, "Well, the bankruptcy 23
- judge in an opinion or two opinions had 24

- 1 expressed some concern about a conflict."
- 2 He said, "Well, I can't get into that. I'm
- not a lawyer, but if you do decide to
- replace him, you better be careful not to 4
- shake the confidence of the executive 5
- staff." 6
- 7 Q. When was this conversation which you
- said that, approximately? 8
- A. April, May of 2002. 9
- Q. You were still concerned about the 10
- conflict in April and May 2002? 11
- MR. KIPNES: Asked and 12
- 13 answered.
- THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say 14
- that I was still concerned about it. 15
- That was not the emphasis. The emphasis 16
- that I was putting on my discussion with 17
- Jeffrey was what happens if you disturb 18
- the leadership of an organization like 19
- Coram? That was really what I was 20
- trying to find out. He said to be very, 21
- very careful. 22
- BY MR. LEVY: 23
- O. Apart from these two people, did you 24

- ever get, apart from SSG and EMB, did you 1
- ever get advice concerning the operation of 2
- Coram? Did you ever go to them and say 3
- Crowley wants me to a propose this 4
- contract? Crowley is doing this, what do 5
- 6 you think?
- 7 A. I didn't seek outside advice
- 8 contracts, no.
- Q. Did you ever do anything when you 9
- 10 would get these business issues put to you
- to verify that you were getting the 11
- straight story from Crowley and the people 12
- who reported to him? 13
- MR. GODNICK: Objection to 1.4
- the form of the question. 15
- THE WITNESS: Yes, I would 16
- 17 ask questions.
- BY MR. LEVY: 18
- Q. Give me an example. 19
- MR. KIPNES: Objection. 20
- THE WITNESS: I would ask 21
- questions of Joe Devine when he would 22
- come in with the contracts. How about 23
- this, this, go back and check it. He 24

1 woul	d ao	back.	There	were	а	series	οf
--------	------	-------	-------	------	---	--------	----

- 2 executives there, junior executives,
- however you want to characterize them, 3
- Marabito. There were a whole series of
- them. "Judge Adams wants additional
- information," he would say. What is the
- 7 story?
- Q. Dennis reports to Crowley? 8
- A. Yes, sir. 9
- Q. Marabito reports to Crowley? 10
- A. Yes. 11
- Q. Their future in Coram, at least at 12
- that time, was determined by Crowley, 13
- wasn't it? 14
- MR. KIPNES: Objection to 15
- the form of the question. 16
- THE WITNESS: Maybe to some 17
- extent. But I don't think he would make 18
- a change without consulting me of that 19
- nature. I don't think he ever 20
- discharged any of those people. 21
- Q. They were pretty secure in their 22
- jobs? 23
- MR. KIPNES: Objection to 24

3	+	form.
i	1. 3 (5. U ± 151 s

- MR. GODNICK: Objection. 2
- THE WITNESS: Well, he 3
- knew -- let me tell you what happened.
- When I went out to Denver, I would 5
- always give them an opportunity to speak 6
- with me individually with his not being 7
- present, so I had a pretty good idea of 8
- how they viewed him, how they viewed me 9
- and what their future plans were which 10
- were very important to me. 11
- 12 BY MR. LEVY:
- Q. Did you consider that they might be 13
- biased because Crowley was their boss? 14
- MR. NEUWIRTH: Objection. 15
- THE WITNESS: Yes. 16
- BY MR. LEVY: 17
- 18 Q. Were you able to determine that they
- were or not biased when you met separately? 19
- A. I think that's one of my skills. I 20
- don't have many skills. I think by talking 21
- to somebody, I could determine that with 22
- reasonable certitude. 23
- Q. Your conclusion was that they were 24

- 1 not biased?
- A. I didn't find that. I thought they 2
- gave me a pretty good evaluation of him. 3
- They weren't necessarily in love with them, 4
- but they had a high regard for his ability. 5
- They thought he was keeping the company 6
- together; he was keeping it on the upward 7
- 8 road. That had a great influence on me.
- Q. Did you ever talk to anyone outside 9
- of the company about Crowley, what his 10
- reputation was in the health care industry, 11
- 12 for example?
- A. I think I did, but I can't give you 13
- any names. 14
- Q. Did you ever investigate Crowley in 15
- his relationship with Foundation Health? 16
- A. With Foundation Health, no. 17
- Q. That's the one that he refers to as 18
- a Fortune 200 company. You heard him use 19
- 20 that expression?
- A. I may. I can't recall that. 21
- O. Mr. Adams, every week according to 22
- documents we have here, sometimes more 23
- often, you did get a long letter from 24

- Mr. Crowley? 1
- 2 A. That's right, every week. He would
- give me a report every week. 3
- Q. Those reports, generally speaking, 4
- spoke highly of Dan Crowley, is that 5
- correct? Is that your impression of them? 6
- A. Well, I don't think that's a fair 7
- characterization. I think he reported that 8
- 9 they continued to make progress and,
- perhaps, reading between the lines, one 10
- would assume that he was taking credit for 11
- that progress. I don't recall that he 12
- continuously said that. 13
- Q. Do you recall his saying he 14
- performed a miracle at Coram in one of 15
- 16 those letters?
- A. Oh, I think, he did say that. He 17
- thought it was a great accomplishment given 18
- the circumstances that he was able to 19
- 20 continue to improve the revenues and EBITDA
- 21 and cash flows.
- 22 Q. Generally, he blamed his
- predecessors for all the problems, is that 23
- 24 fair?

1 MR. KIPNES: Objection to

- 2 the form of the question.
- 3 BY MR. LEVY:
- 4 Q. Is that your impression?
- 5 A. I think he blamed a predecessor for
- 6 the acquisition that lead to the heavy
- 7 debt. I do think that.
- Q. Did you ever make any investigation
- 9 to determine whether that predecessor
- 10 deserved such blame?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. You just took Crowley at his word?
- 13 A. That was not a consideration. It's
- 14 past history.
- Q. In the letters he wrote to you, did
- 16 you ever take any steps to verify what he
- 17 told you with sources outside of Coram?
- A. Well, what he was giving me were the
- 19 sales, the cash flow, the EBITDA. I spoke
- 20 to Ernst & Young on two occasions. It so
- 21 happened that the accountant in charge had
- 22 a name like mine so I could remember it,
- 23 although he spelled it differently and I
- 24 was convinced that they were doing a pretty

- good job as auditors. I relied on their 1
- 2 audits.
- Q. What convinced you they were doing a 3
- 4 pretty good job as auditors?
- A. My interview with him. 5
- Q. You sort of functioned as a board of 6
- directors, is that fair?
- 8 A. Correct.
- Q. You know that boards of directors
- are required in fact to have audit 10
- committees? 11
- 12 A. Correct.
- Q. And one of the requirements 13
- currently under Sarbanes-Oxley is there be 14
- a financial expert on the audit committee, 15
- correct? 16
- A. Correct. 17
- MR. BEATIE: Are you trying 18
- 19 a tax fraud case or --
- MR. LEVY: You are not going 20
- to allow me --21
- MR. BEATIE: The answer is 22
- no, only because I'm exercising maximum 23
- restraint. There is an old saying that 24

3	MR. LEVY: I understand.
4	MR. BEATIE: I suggest that
5	you go back to deposition 101 and learn
6	how to ask proper questions.
7	MR. LEVY: I wish you would
8	stop interrupting me.
9	It's correct you were
10	sanctioned by a Federal District Court.
11	MR. BEATIE: Would you would

1 you can't kill somebody because it's

2 against the law.

MR. LEVY: Let's get back. 1.4

like to sanction me? I invite the

BY MR. LEVY: 15

effort.

- Q. You are not a financial expert, are 16
- 17 you?

1.2

- MR. KIPNES: Objection. I 18
- ask you what this has to do with 19
- Mr. Crowley? 20
- THE WITNESS: Am I a 21
- 22 financial expert?
- Q. As that term is generally understood. 23
- A. I invest for many foundations and 24

- trusts and all that. I would not hold out 1
- a shingle saying I'm a financial expert, 2
- but I think I know how to read balance 3
- sheets and financial statements. I was an
- accounting major in college. I did 5
- accounting all during the time I was in 6
- 7 college and law school. I don't know what
- you mean by an expert. I'm not a CPA. 8
- 9 O. Are you in a position where you
- could -- you had no audit committee? 10
- A. Correct. 11
- Q. Are you in a position where you 12
- could perform the functions of an audit 13
- 14 committee in order to interface with the
- 15 auditors?
- MR. GODNICK: Objection to 16
- 17 this line of questioning.
- MR. KIPNES: If you want to 18
- bring a motion to remove the Trustee, 19
- 20 bring a motion to remove the Trustee.
- We are here talking about Mr. Crowley. 21
- MR. BEATIE: No, we are not. 22
- MR. KIPNES: We are supposed 23
- to be talking about Mr. Crowley. 24

1	THE WITNESS: I do have a
2	certain expertise in going over
3	statements. I had a strong background
4	in accounting. I was an accountant and
5	made a living as an accountant. I
6	continue to run a lot of trust accounts,
7	foundations. I did a lot of this work
8	when I was at Einstein. I did a lot of
9	this work when I was at the Oxford
10	Hospital. I don't hold myself out as an
11	expert, but I think I can understand
12	these financial statements sufficiently
13	well so that I can tell when an expert
14	should be called in to advise me.
15	BY MR. LEVY:
16	Q. And did you call in an expert to
17	advise you?
18	A. No.
19	Q. How much time did you spend
20	interfacing with Ernst & Young with respect
21	to the financial statements?.
22	MR. MILLER: Objection.

This is not about retaining Crowley,

terminating Crowley, who are paying

23

1	Crowley. This is about Judge Adams'
2	background and ability to be the audit
3	committee of Coram. Let's move on with
4	this and get this deposition in the
5	right place where we need to get to so
6	you can finish.
7	THE WITNESS: On two
8	occasions, I met with the Ernst & Young
9	people. We would go over the quarterly
10	statements. We talked to them by
11	conference call. Every time we did it.
12	four or five times now, I don't remember
13	how many times we did it that way. We
14	asked questions and sometimes we were
15	not satisfied with the answers and they
16	dug further in and gave us the answers
17	because we had, I had to certify under
18	Sarbanes-Oxley and I was very careful
19	about that.
20	Q. How much time, total, roughly, did
21	you spend interfacing with Ernst & Young?
22	A. On those occasions?
23	MR. KIPNES: How much time
24	On

1	\circ	HOW	much	time?
.1.	U.	now	HILLCH	TIME:

- 2 A. I can't tell. A half hour, maybe
- three-quarters of an hour. 3
- Q. Twice?
- A. Yes. 5
- Q. You said "we interfaced." Who is 6
- the "we"? Who else? 7
- A. Well, at that time, I think on one 8
- occasion, Joe Devine. I forget 9
- whether Barry Bressler was there on another 10
- occasion. I can't remember who from this 11
- 12 office was with me.
- Q. When Barry and Devine were there, 13
- they were not there to give you legal 14
- advice, they were there to give you 15
- business advice? 16
- MR. GODNICK: Objection. 17
- 18 THE WITNESS: Not business
- advice. Really, accounting advice. 19
- The reason that Joe Devine 20
- went out, he is a securities lawyer and 21
- knows the Sarbanes-Oxley bill pretty 22
- well. That is why we had him there. He 23
- handled for me the review of the 24

1	contracts, review of the quarterly
2	statements and any other matters
3	involving the financial auditing and
4	related subjects. I thought that with
5	him, and my own background and my
6	confidence in Ernst & Young, that was
7	pretty good. If it wasn't, I'm very
8	sorry. I don't think I would have been
9	justified in spending any more time than
10	I did. Again, that was not the
11	principal assignment that I had. You
12	have to take your questions and my
13	answers in that context.
14	BY MR. LEVY:
15	Q. Mr. Adams, have you considered what
16	you would do if Mr. Crowley was
17	incapacitated, hit by a trolley car, as
18	people our age used to say?
19	A. I certainly did. I thought I had
20	went over that before when I talked about
21	my going out myself. I was prepared to do
22	that.
23	Q. Did you consider, rather than your
24	going out, hiring a crisis manager?

- A. Yes, we did. I think Don, your 1
- colleague, suggested it. Perhaps, you 2
- suggested it. And that was one of the 3
- things that we discussed with the financial 4
- 5 managers.
- MR. KIPNES: You said
- financial manager. You meant the 7
- financial advisor. 8
- THE WITNESS: Financial 9
- advisors, I beg your pardon. I 10
- misspoke. 11
- BY MR. LEVY: 12
- Q. Would you agree that the Equity 13
- Committee has constantly asked both Crowley 14
- be removed and tried to assist you in 15
- selecting a crisis manager to replace him? 16
- A. I think that's a fair statement. 17
- Q. Let's just --18
- A. We took the advice very, very 19
- seriously. I have tried to point that out. 20
- Q. Who is "we"? 21
- A. I did. 22
- Q. Did you discuss it with anyone? 23
- A. Yes. I discussed it with, 24